

EXHIBIT 3

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
BROWNSVILLE DIVISION**

STATE OF TEXAS, <i>et al.</i> ,)	
)	
	<i>Plaintiffs,</i>	
)	
<i>v.</i>)	Case No. 1:18-cv-00068
)	
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, <i>et al.</i> ,)	
)	
	<i>Defendants,</i>	
)	
<i>and</i>)	
)	
KARLA PEREZ, <i>et al.</i> ,)	
)	
	<i>Defendant-Intervenors.</i>	

**PLAINTIFFS' OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSES TO DEFENDANT-
INTERVENORS' THIRD SET OF REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION**

TO: Defendant-Intervenors, by and through their attorneys of record, Nina Perales, Celina Moreno, Jack Salmon, Alejandra Avila, Mexican American Legal Defense and Educational Fund, 110 Broadway, Suite 300, San Antonio, Texas 78205; Carlos Moctezuma García, García & García, Attorneys at Law P.L.L.C., P.O. Box 4545 McAllen, Texas 78502.

Plaintiff States serve these objections and responses to Defendant-Intervenors' third set of requests for production of documents pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

STEVE MARSHALL
Attorney General of Alabama

LESLIE RUTLEDGE
Attorney General of Arkansas

JEFF LANDRY
Attorney General of Louisiana

DOUGLAS J. PETERSON
Attorney General of Nebraska

ALAN WILSON
Attorney General of South Carolina

PATRICK MORRISEY
Attorney General of West Virginia

Respectfully submitted.

KEN PAXTON
Attorney General of Texas

JEFFREY C. MATEER
First Assistant Attorney General

BRANTLEY STARR
Deputy First Assistant Attorney General

JAMES E. DAVIS
Deputy Attorney General for Civil Litigation

/s/ Todd Lawrence Disher
TODD LAWRENCE DISHER
Attorney-in-Charge
Special Counsel for Civil Litigation
Tx. State Bar No. 24081854
Southern District of Texas No. 2985472
Tel.: (512) 463-2100; Fax: (512) 936-0545
todd.disher@oag.texas.gov
P.O. Box 12548
Austin, Texas 78711-2548

ADAM ARTHUR BIGGS
Special Counsel for Civil Litigation

ADAM N. BITTER
Assistant Attorney General

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that on June 18, 2018, I served a copy of this document by electronic mail to all counsel listed below:

Nina Perales
Mexican American Legal Defense and Educational Fund
110 Broadway
Suite 300
San Antonio, Texas 78205
nperales@maldef.org

Jeffrey S. Robins
U.S. Department of Justice, Civil Division
Office of Immigration Litigation
District Court Section
P.O. Box 868
Washington, D.C. 20044
Jeffrey.Robins@usdoj.gov

Rachel Wainer Apter
Office of the Attorney General of New Jersey
25 Market Street, 8th Floor
Trenton, New Jersey 08625
Rachel.Apter@njoag.gov

/s/ Adam N. Bitter
ADAM N. BITTER
Assistant Attorney General

COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFF STATES

GENERAL OBJECTIONS

At this juncture, the Court has allowed limited expedited discovery for purposes of the preliminary injunction hearing. Although the Federal Rules do not provide a standard for the court to use in evaluating requests for expedited discovery, district courts within the Fifth Circuit typically adopt a “good cause” standard. *See, e.g., St. Louis Grp., Inc. v. Metals & Additives Corp.*, 275 F.R.D. 236, 240 (S.D. Tex. 2011) (citing *El Pollo Loco, S.A. de C.V. v. El Pollo Loco, Inc.*, 344 F. Supp. 2d 986, 991 (S.D. Tex. 2004)). In a “good cause” analysis, courts examine the discovery request “on the entirety of the record to date and the reasonableness of the request in light of all the surrounding circumstances.” *St. Louis Grp., Inc.* 275 F.R.D. at 239 (citations omitted). Moreover, the subject matter related to requests for expedited discovery should be narrowly tailored in scope. *Id.* Accordingly, the scope of discovery at this phase in the case is narrower than that typically provided under Rule 26.

Plaintiffs object to the extent that any of Defendant-Intervenors’ requests are outside the narrow scope of expedited discovery, unduly burdensome, overly broad, or seek information that is not relevant to this phase of this case or equally accessible to Defendant-Intervenors through third-party discovery requests or other sources.

Additionally, Plaintiffs objects to each discovery request to the extent that: (1) it seeks information that was prepared for or in anticipation of litigation, constitutes attorney work product, contains attorney-client communications, or is otherwise protected by legislative privilege, deliberative process privilege, or any other applicable privilege, protection, doctrine, or immunity; (2) it seeks information

that is publicly available or otherwise equally available and/or uniquely or equally available from third parties; (3) it seeks information that does not specifically refer to the events which are the subject matter of this litigation; and (4) it seeks information not relevant to the subject matter of this litigation.

Plaintiffs object to each discovery request to the extent that it seeks information not in Plaintiffs' possession, custody, or control. Many of the requests seek information from individuals or entities who are not parties to this lawsuit and are not under the direction and control of the parties. Those requests are subject to the rules governing third-party discovery.

These responses and objections are made without waiving any further objections to, or admitting the relevancy or materiality of, any of the information requested. All answers are given without prejudice to Plaintiffs' right to introduce or object to the discovery of any documents, facts, or information discovered after the date hereof. Plaintiffs likewise do not waive the right to object, on any and all grounds, to (1) the evidentiary use of the information contained in these responses and objections; and (2) discovery requests relating to these objections and responses.

Plaintiffs will provide their responses based on terms as they are commonly understood and consistent with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. Plaintiffs object to and will refrain from extending or modifying any words employed in the requests to comport with expanded definitions or instructions. Plaintiffs will answer the requests to the extent required by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the Local Rules of the Southern District of Texas.

**SPECIFIC OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSES TO DEFENDANT-
INTERVENORS' THIRD SET OF REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION**

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 3:

To the extent that the testimony of any expert will be offered in the preliminary injunction briefing, produce any reports, or, in the alternative, any document that contains:

- i. a complete statement of all opinions the witness will express and the basis and reasons for them;
- ii. the facts or data considered by the witness in forming them;
- iii. any exhibits that will be used to summarize or support them;
- iv. the witness's qualifications, including a list of all publications authored in the last 10 years;
- v. a list of all other cases in which, during the previous 4 years, the witness testified as an expert at trial or by deposition; and
- vi. a statement of the compensation to paid for the study and testimony in the case.

RESPONSE:

Non-privileged documents within the scope of Rule 26 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure will be produced on a rolling basis.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 4:

Produce all communications between you (including your counsel) and your testifying expert witnesses relating to compensation for the expert's study or testimony, identifying facts or data that the expert considered in forming the opinions to be expressed, or identifying assumptions that the expert relied on in forming the opinions expressed.

RESPONSE:

Non-privileged documents within the scope of Rule 26 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure will be produced on a rolling basis.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 5:

Produce all documents, tangible things, reports, models, or data compilations that have been provided to, reviewed by, or prepared by or for your testifying expert witnesses in forming the opinions expressed.

RESPONSE:

Non-privileged documents within the scope of Rule 26 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure will be produced on a rolling basis.